

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,471	08/27/2003		Debra Lyn Orton	4386-7004US1	4718
27123	7590	02/18/2005		EXAMINER	
		EGAN, L.L.P.	CHAVIS, JOHN Q		
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2124	
				DATE MAILED: 02/18/2009	DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/648,471	ORTON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Chavis	2124					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rein. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	8/27/2003.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	This action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been in ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	,, □,,,,	(PTO 440)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/648,471

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Orton et al. (5,379,432).

What is claimed is:

- 1. A computer implemented method of enabling an object-oriented application to access in an object-oriented (OO) manner a Procedural operating system (OS)having a native procedural interface during run-time execution of the application (Appl.) in a computer having a memory component, the method com-prising the steps of:
- (a) locating in the application an objectoriented statement which accesses a service provided by the operating system;
- (b) translating the object-oriented statement to a procedural function call compatible with the native procedural interface or the operating system and correspond-ing to the object-oriented

Orton ('432)

The only differences in the claims is the semantics. For example, the present claims indicate that OO Appls. access a procedural OS having a native interface; while, 432 indicates that OO Appls. interface procedural OS's. The overall functionality is considered the same and therefore covering the same invention as claim 1.

See item (a) of claim 1.

See item (b) of claim 1. Here again the only difference is considered that the applicant translates compatible with the native procedural interface; while, in '432 the translation is compatible with statement; and procedural functions. However, note in the preamble that the method of '432 enables an OO Appl. to "interface" to a

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/648,471

Art Unit: 2124

procedural OS. Therefore, again the functionality is considered the same.

c) executing in the computer the procedural function call to thereby cause the operating system to provide the service on behalf of the application.

See item (c) of claim 1.

2. The method of claim 1 in which an object-oriented class library includes related object-oriented classes having class methods for accessing services provided by the operating system using procedural function calls compatible with the native procedural interface of the operating system, wherein the object-oriented statement located in the application is defined by the class library,

See claims 2-4 of '432.

further comprising the step of storing in the memory component a code library comprising computer program logic implementing the object-oriented class library.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein step (b) comprises the steps of identifying one or more methods in the class library corresponding to the object-oriented statement, and copying the identified methods to a portion of virtual memory in the computer previously allocated to the application, and wherein step (c) comprises the step of executing the identified methods.

See claims 3-4 of '432.

The features of claim 6 are taught via claim 4 of '432; while, claim 9 is taught via claim 1 of '432.

3. Claims 4-5, and 7-8 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Orton et

Application/Control Number: 10/648,471

Art Unit: 2124

al. (5,475,845).

Claims

- 4. An apparatus for enabling an object-oriented application to access in an object-oriented manner a procedural operating system having a native procedural interface, the apparatus comprising:
- (a) a computer;
- (b) a memory component in the computer;
- (c) a code library, stored in the memory component, comprising computer program logic implementing an objectoriented class library,

the object-oriented class library comprising related object-oriented classes for enabling the application to access in an object-oriented manner services provided by the operating system,

the object-oriented classes comprising methods for accessing the operating system services using procedural function calls compatible with the native procedural interface of the operating system; and

- (d) means, in the computer, for processing object-oriented statements contained in the application and defined by the class library by executing methods from the class library corresponding to the object-oriented statements.
 - 5. The apparatus of claim 4, wherein

Orton ('845)

See claim 1 of '845.

See item (a) of claim 1.

See item (b) of claim 1.

See item (c) of claim 1.

These features are considered inherent parts of object-oriented systems that distinguish them from procedural systems. Therefore, the features are considered in '845.

See item (d) of claim 1.

See claim 2 of '845.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,471

Art Unit: 2124

the means for processing the objectoriented statements operates in the computer during run-time execution of the application.

As per claims 7-8, see the rejection of claim 4 above. In reference to the preamble of claim 8, see item (d) of '845 claim 1 (c1). Item (b) of claim 8 is taught via item (b) of '845 (c1). The features of claim 8 (b) are taught via items (c) and (d) of '845 (c1). While, the features of item (c) are taught via claim 2 of '845.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Chavis whose telephone number is (703) 571-3720. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Tue & Th-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (703) 571-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Chavis

Primary Examiner AU-2124